

**CEQA FINDINGS OF FACT**

**and**

**STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING  
CONSIDERATIONS**

**OF THE CITY COUNCIL  
OF THE CITY OF ROCKLIN**

**for the**

**GRANITE LAKES ESTATES PROJECT**

**Granite Lakes Estates General Development Plan (PDG-2000-08)  
Granite Lakes Estates Tentative Subdivision Map (SD-2000-02)  
Granite Lakes Estates Oak Tree Preservation Plan Permit (TRE-2000-33)  
Granite Lakes Estates Grading Design Guidelines (DR-2000-02)  
Granite Lakes Estates Development Agreement (DA-2002-02)**

**APRIL 2002**

CEQA FINDINGS OF FACT .....	1
I. INTRODUCTION.....	8
II. DEFINITIONS .....	8
III. PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	11
IV. BACKGROUND .....	13
V. RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS.....	14
VI. FINDINGS REQUIRED UNDER CEQA .....	15
VII. LEGAL EFFECTS ON FINDINGS.....	17
VIII. MITIGATION MONITORING PLAN.....	18
IX. SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES .....	18
A. SIGNIFICANT OR POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS.....	18
REDUCED TO LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVELS .....	18
Impact G-2: Site development will occur in areas underlain with shallow or exposed bedrock, which can present geotechnical constraints that require special construction methods. (Draft EIR, p. G-9.) .....	18
Impact H-4: Stormwater runoff from the Project can contain urban contaminants that can degrade water quality. (Draft EIR, p. H-24.).....	20
Impact H-6: Increased impervious surfaces and urbanization associated with development of the Project, in combination with other development in the City of Rocklin and the Dry Creek watershed, can cumulatively increase urban contaminant loading, potentially adversely affecting water quality. (Draft EIR, p. H-28.).....	24
Impact I-4: The Project will fill 0.488 acres of seasonal wetlands and other jurisdictional waters of the U.S. (Draft EIR, p. I-35.).....	25
Impact I-5: Development of the Project can disturb nesting raptors (birds of prey). (Draft EIR, p. I-37.).....	27
Impact I-6: Development of the Project will remove elderberry shrubs, some of which may host the valley elderberry longhorn beetle (VELB). (Draft EIR, p. I-39.).....	29

Impact I-9: Stormwater runoff from the Project can contain urban contaminants that can degrade water quality in Secret Ravine Creek and downstream drainages, degrading habitat for fall-run chinook salmon, a federal candidate species and California Species of Special Concern, and the federally-threatened Central Valley steelhead. (Draft EIR, p. I-41.).....30

Impact I-10: Construction of the bridge across Secret Ravine Creek can affect special-status aquatic species. (Draft EIR, p. I-43.).....32

Impact I-12: Construction of the Project, in combination with other development in the region, can contribute to the loss or deterioration of salmon and steelhead habitat and to the loss of aquatic resources in the region. (Draft EIR, p. I-47.).....36

Impact K-2: Operation of the Project can result in the generation of both vehicle and area source air pollutants, increasing total air pollutant emissions. (Draft EIR, p. K-14.) .....37

Impact L-1: Construction of the Project will temporarily increase noise levels at existing noise-sensitive land uses. (Draft EIR, p. L-8.) .....39

Impact M-5:..... Implementation of the Project can result in inadequate emergency access to open space portions of the site. (Draft EIR, p. M-11.).....40

Impact N-6:..... The Project will increase demand for wastewater conveyance. (Draft EIR, p. N-13.) .....42

Impact O-1: Implementation of the Project can damage or destroy unidentified historic and/or prehistoric resources. (Draft EIR, p. O-12.).....43

Impact O-2: Implementation of the Project can damage or destroy prehistoric resource CA-PLA-668. (Draft EIR, p. O-15.).....47

Impact O-3: Construction of offsite infrastructure can damage or destroy undiscovered archeological and/or historic resources. (Draft EIR, p. O-17.).....49

Impact P-2: Development of the project site can expose construction workers and the public to contaminated soil and/or groundwater. (Draft EIR, p. P-10.).....50

Impact P-3: Development of the Project will occur around two granite quarries, potentially exposing the public and the environment to physical hazards. (Draft EIR, p. P-11.).....52

Impact P-5: Implementation of the Project can increase the potential for wildland fires. (Draft EIR, p. P-13.) .....53

B. SIGNIFICANT OR POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS FOR WHICH IDENTIFIED MITIGATION MEASURES ARE OUTSIDE THE CITY'S RESPONSIBILITY AND/OR JURISDICTION	55
C. SIGNIFICANT AND UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS	55
Impact E-1: The Project will replace the undeveloped character of the project site with an urban setting. (Draft EIR, p. E-9.)	55
Impact E-4: The Project will contribute to the cumulative change in visual character of the region from oak woodland/grassland to residential. (Draft EIR, p. E-11.)	56
Impact E-5: The Project will contribute to cumulative light and glare in the region. (Draft EIR, p. E-12.)	57
Impact I-1: The Project will result in the loss of native oak trees. (Draft EIR, p. I-32.)	58
Impact I-3: Development of the Project will result in disturbance and/or loss of natural habitat on the project site, including loss of annual grassland, oak woodland and riparian habitats. (Draft EIR, p. I-34.)	60
Impact I-11: Construction of the Project, in combination with other development in the County, can contribute to the loss of native plant communities, wildlife habitat values, special-status species and their potential habitat, and wetland resources in the region. (Draft EIR, p. I-46.)	61
Impact K-1: Construction activities associated with the Project can generate criteria air pollutants that will exceed Placer County APCD thresholds. (Draft EIR, p. K-11.)	62
Impact K-5: The Project, in combination with other cumulative development, can hinder the PCAPCD's ability to bring the region into attainment for O <sub>3</sub> and PM <sub>10</sub> . (Draft EIR, p. K-23.)	65
Impact O-5: Cumulative development, in the Secret Ravine watershed in conjunction with development of the Project, can contribute incrementally to the regional loss of cultural resources in Placer County. (Draft EIR, p. O-18.)	66
D. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS PRIOR TO MITIGATION	67
Impact E-3: Light and glare from the Project will substantially alter the nighttime lighting character of the area. (Draft EIR, p. E-11.)	67

Impact G-1: People and property can be subject to seismic groundshaking. (Draft EIR, p. G-8.).....68

Impact G-3: Site development can result in topographic alteration and soil disturbance, which can lead to increased erosion potential. (Draft EIR, p. G-11.).....68

Impact G-4: The Project, in combination with buildout under the General Plan, will expose a greater number of people and property to seismic hazards such as seismic groundshaking, hazards associated with geologic or soils conditions, and potential effects of erosion. (Draft EIR, p. G-13.) .....70

Impact H-3: Grading, excavation, and construction activities associated with the Project can degrade water quality and increase deposition of sediment in Secret Ravine Creek, Sucker Ravine Creek, and pond areas on the project site. (Draft EIR, p. H-22.).....70

Impact H-5: Construction activities associated with the Project, in combination with other development that can occur within the Dry Creek Watershed, can affect the quality of receiving water. (Draft EIR, p.H-28.) .....72

Impact I-2: Construction of Monument Springs Drive (offsite) will result in the loss of native oak trees. (Draft EIR, p. I-34.) .....73

Impact M-2:..... The Project, in combination with future development in the City, will create demand for additional law enforcement services and facilities. (Draft EIR, p. M-5.).....74

Impact M-3:..... The Project will increase demand for fire protection and emergency services. The project will require less than one additional fire personnel. (Draft EIR, p. M-10.) .....75

Impact M-4:.... The Project can result in the placement of residences farther than the two road mile service area of the closest fire station. (Draft EIR, p. M-11.) .....76

Impact M-7:.....The Project, in combination with future development in the City, will create demand for additional fire protection and emergency services. (Draft EIR, p. M-12.) .....77

Impact M-10: Implementation of the Project will increase demand for park facilities. (Draft EIR, p. M-21.) .....77

Impact M-11: The Project, in combination with other development in the City, will increase the demand for park facilities. (Draft EIR, p. M-22.) .....78

Impact P-1: Construction and occupancy of the Project can result in the use, generation, storage, and disposal of hazardous materials within the project site. (Draft EIR, p. P-8.).....	79
--	----

X. PROJECT ALTERNATIVES .....80

Alternative 1: No Project/No Development Alternative.....	82
Land Use .....	83
Visual Resources.....	83
Population, Employment and Housing .....	83
Geology, Soils and Seismicity .....	84
Hydrology, Water Quality and Flooding.....	84
Biological Resources.....	84
Transportation/Circulation.....	86
Air Quality .....	86
Noise .....	87
Public Services.....	87
Public Utilities .....	88
Cultural Resources.....	89
Public Safety and Hazards .....	90
Relationship of the No Project/No Development Alternative to the Project Objectives; Infeasibility of Alternative.....	90
Alternative 2: Reduced Units and Expanded Open Space Alternative.....	91
Land Use .....	91
Visual Resources.....	91
Population, Employment, and Housing .....	92
Geology, Soils and Seismicity .....	92
Hydrology, Drainage and Water Quality.....	93
Biological Resources.....	94
Traffic and Circulation.....	96
Air Quality .....	96
Noise .....	97
Public Services.....	98
Public Utilities .....	99
Cultural Resources.....	101
Public Safety and Hazards .....	101
Relationship of the Reduced Units and Expanded Open Space Alternative to the Project Objectives; Infeasibility of Alternative.....	102
Alternative 3: Reduced Density Alternative .....	103
Land Use .....	104
Visual Resources.....	104
Population, Employment and Housing .....	104

Geology, Soils and Seismicity .....	105
Hydrology, Water Quality and Flooding.....	105
Biological Resources.....	106
Transportation/Circulation.....	108
Air Quality .....	108
Noise .....	109
Public Services.....	109
Public Utilities .....	110
Cultural Resources .....	112
Public Safety and Hazards .....	113
Relationship of the Reduced Density Alternative to the Project Objectives; Infeasibility of Alternative .....	113
 Alternative 4: No Monument Springs Drive Extension Alternative.....	 114
Land Use .....	114
Visual Resources.....	115
Population, Employment, and Housing .....	115
Geology, Soils and Seismicity .....	115
Hydrology, Drainage and Water Quality.....	115
Biological Resources.....	115
Traffic and Circulation.....	116
Air Quality .....	116
Noise .....	116
Public Services.....	116
Public Utilities .....	117
Cultural Resources .....	117
Public Safety and Hazards .....	117
Relationship of the No Monument Springs Drive Extension Alternative to the Project Objectives; Infeasibility of Alternative.....	117
 Alternative 5: Offsite Alternative .....	 118
Land Use .....	118
Visual Resources.....	119
Population, Employment and Housing .....	120
Geology, Soils and Seismicity .....	121
Hydrology, Water Quality and Flooding.....	121
Biological Resources.....	122
Transportation/Circulation.....	123
Air Quality .....	124
Noise .....	124
Public Services.....	125
Public Utilities .....	128
Cultural Resources .....	129
Public Safety and Hazards .....	130

Relationship of the Offsite Alternative to the Project Objectives; Infeasibility of Alternative.....	131
Alternative 6: Open Space Ownership/Access Alternative .....	133
Option 1: Homeowners Association (access limited to residents of the Project) .....	133
Option 1a: Homeowners Association (public access allowed).....	135
Council Reasons for Rejecting Options 1 and 1a .....	137
Option 2: Public Entity (i.e., Dry Creek Conservancy).....	138
Council Reasons for Rejecting Option 2 .....	139
Option 3: City of Rocklin .....	140
Council Reasons for Choosing Option 3 .....	142
 XI. STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS.....	 142
 APPENDIX A - THRESHOLDS OF SIGNIFICANCE .....	 144
A. VISUAL RESOURCES .....	144
B. GEOLOGY, SOILS AND SEISMICITY.....	144
C. HYDROLOGY, WATER QUALITY, AND FLOODING.....	144
D. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES .....	145
E. AIR QUALITY .....	146
F. NOISE.....	147
G. PUBLIC SERVICE.....	147
H. PUBLIC UTILITIES .....	148
I. CULTURAL RESOURCES .....	148
J. PUBLIC SAFETY AND HAZARDS.....	149

**I. INTRODUCTION**

The Environmental Impact Report (“EIR”) prepared for the Granite Lakes Estates Project (the “Project”) addresses the potential environmental effects associated with a residential development for a site in the City of Rocklin, California. These findings have been prepared to comply with requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”) (Pub. Resources Code, §21000 et seq.) and the CEQA Guidelines (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 15000 et seq.).

**II. DEFINITIONS**

“APCD” means Air Pollution Control District.

“BATs” means Best Available Technologies.

“BMPs” means Best Management Practices.

“CARB” means the California Air Resources Board.



"CBC" means California Business Code.

"CC&Rs" means Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions.

"CDFG" means the California Department of Fish & Game.

"CEQA" means California Environmental Quality Act.

"cfs" means cubic feet per second.

"CO" means carbon monoxide.

"dBA" means A-weighted decibels.

"EIR" means environmental impact report.

"ESA" means Endangered Species Act.

"Final EIR" means the Final Environmental Impact Report for the Granite Lakes Estates project.

"gpd" means gallons per day.

"HDPE" means high density polyethylene.

"hp" means horsepower.

"ISO" means Insurance Services Offices.

"L<sub>dn</sub>" means Day-Night Average Level.

"L<sub>max</sub>" means the highest root-mean-square ("RMS") sound level measured over a given period of time.

"lb" means pound.

"LOS" means level of service.

"mgd" means million gallons per day.

"MOA" means Memorandum of Agreement.

"NMFS" means National Marine Fisheries Service.

"NO<sub>x</sub>" means nitrogen oxide.

"NPDES" means National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System.

"NRHP" means National Register of Historic Places.

"O<sub>3</sub>" means ozone.

"PCAPCD" means Placer County Air Pollution Control District.

"PCWA" means Placer County Water Agency.

"PM<sub>10</sub>" means particulate matter with a diameter of 10 microns or less.

"ppm" means parts per million.

"RFD" means Rocklin Fire Department.

"ROG" means reactive organic gases.

"RUSD" means Rocklin Unified School District.

"RWQCB" means Regional Water Quality Control Board.

"SPMUD" means South Placer Municipal Utility District.

"SVAB" means Sacramento Valley Air Basin.

"SWPPP" means Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan.

"TCM" means transportation control measures.

"TLA" means Terrance E. Lowell & Associates, Inc.

"UBC" means the Uniform Building Code.

"USCOE" means the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

"USFWS" means the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

"VELB" means Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle.

"WWTP" mean wastewater treatment plant.